## **Pillars of Immunology**

## Positive Selection in the Thymus: An Enigma Wrapped in a Mystery

Stephen M. Hedrick

ne of the many mysteries that loomed over the field of immunology in its dark ages (that period prior to characterization of the TCR and peptide binding by MHC molecules) was the process of T cell development and selection of the receptor repertoire in the thymus. The work of Hogquist and colleagues was the culmination of theoretical and experimental work starting decades earlier (1, 2), and it established a clear vision of the enigmatic process known as "positive selection" (3). The first and most famous observation, which drove the field, was that T cells did not recognize Ags as such, but rather as some combination of Ag and allelic variations of MHC class II molecules (4, 5) or MHC class I Ags (6–8). "MHC restriction" was magic enough, but there soon emerged an even more perplexing concept that appeared to separate the recognition of Ag from that of MHC molecules.

Experiments showed that the repertoire of mature T cells was biased by the allelic variants of MHC molecules expressed by the radioresistant epithelial cells of the thymus (9-12). In the basic experiment, hematopoietic stem cells from an MHC heterozygous strain were used to reconstitute a lethally irradiated homozygous parental strain. In the vernacular of the time, this was referred to as an F1  $\rightarrow$  parent radiation chimera. A number of weeks after reconstitution, the APCs were derived from the hematopoietic stem cell donor (expressing both parental MHC haplotypes), whereas the T cells were "educated" in the thymus of the parent strain. Immunization of these mice showed that the specificity of the T cell response was dominated by recognition of Ag in association with the parental MHC molecules; that is, even after Ag-specific selection and expansion, the repertoire was biased by thymic education. This concept was understood as "positive selection," and the proposal was that developing T cells required a TCRtransduced, MHC-specific signal from the radioresistant thymic epithelial cells to survive and complete maturation. As described below, this influential experiment is still difficult to understand. To borrow from theoretical physics, it might have been wrong, but wrong in an interesting way.

In rapid succession we learned that the TCR used a simple Ig-like combining site (13, 14), and the target of TCR recognition was the complex of a short peptide bound to MHC

Abbreviation used in this article:  $\beta_2 m$ ,  $\beta_2$ -microglobulin.

Copyright © 2012 by The American Association of Immunologists, Inc. 0022-1767/12/\$16.00

molecules (15–18). Furthermore, the process of positive selection was dramatically affirmed. In TCR transgenic mice,  $CD8^+$  or  $CD4^+$  T cells would mature only if the appropriate self MHC class I or class II molecules were present (19, 20).

The question was, how is the bias in selection for thymic MHC molecules impressed on the specificity of Ag-induced effector T cells? Could the selection process be peptide independent, do special thymic peptides exist, or are there sufficient self-peptides to cross-react in some form with the universe of foreign Ags? The answer was approachable only if the peptides presented during thymic development could be controlled, and two key advances paved the way. One was the ability to observe T cell development in fetal thymic organ cultures (21), and the second was the development of mutant mouse strains unable to assemble peptide-MHC complexes on the cell surface (22, 23). Reports combining these tools showed that the maturation of CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells in organ cultures from either of two mutant strains of mice depended upon the addition of  $\beta_2$ microglobulin ( $\beta_2$ m) and some source of octamer peptides. Furthermore, the number of maturing CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells was dependent on the complexity of the added peptides (24, 25). The conclusion was that peptides had a role beyond simply stabilizing MHC class I molecules, and presumably this meant that the TCR on developing thymocytes must have specificity for thymic peptide-MHC complexes. Yet, this interaction must be substantially weaker than that which gives rise to negative selection or mature T cell activation, and the essential question was, what is the nature of the TCR-peptide interaction that promotes positive selection?

Contemporaneously, studies were carried out on the properties of antigenic peptides that would activate cloned, Ag-specific T cells. Stimulatory peptide Ags were shown to consist of MHC-binding amino acids (vernacular: anchor residues) and, separately, amino acid side chains that were directly recognized by the TCR (epitope residues). Peptides harboring single amino acid substitutions at the latter positions were often diminished in their activity, but in addition, they were shown to inhibit T cell activation by concurrently added stimulatory peptides. Such inhibitory peptides could act as antagonists (26, 27), or they could induce a biologically unresponsive (anergic) state (28). These altered peptide ligands were assumed to have a weaker TCR interaction—either a slower on-rate or a faster off-rate, or both.

Everything was in place for Hogquist and her colleagues to address at last the essential question concerning the process of positive selection; i.e., what is the nature of peptide–MHC recognition that promotes survival and differentiation of developing thymocytes (3)? OT-I  $B_2m^{-1/2}$  (T cells specific for

Department of Cellular and Molecular Medicine and Division of Biological Sciences, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, CA 92093

Address correspondence and reprint requests to Dr. Stephen M. Hedrick, University of California, San Diego, 5121 Natural Sciences Building, 9500 Gilman Drive, La Jolla, CA 92093-0377. E-mail address: shedrick@ucsd.edu

a peptide of OVA, SIINFEKL, bound to H2K<sup>b</sup>) fetal thymic organ cultures produced CD4<sup>+</sup>CD8<sup>+</sup> thymocytes and a smattering of mature CD4<sup>+</sup>CD8<sup>-</sup> and CD4<sup>-</sup>CD8<sup>+</sup> thymocytes by the end of the culture period. The addition of the OVA octamer peptide, SIINFEKL, and  $\beta$ 2m caused an apparent deletion of maturing thymocytes such that only CD4<sup>-</sup>CD8<sup>-</sup> thymocytes remained. Clearly, as shown in other less physiological experiments, the nominal peptide able to activate mature T cells was also capable of deleting developing thymocytes (29).

Positively selecting peptides were predicted to engage the TCR without leading to T cell activation, and this predicted quality was reminiscent of altered peptide ligands. As such, Hogquist and coworkers tested a variety of peptides that were able to bind H2K<sup>b</sup> with equal affinity, including single amino acid SIINFEKL variants. The results showed that peptides that stabilized MHC K<sup>b</sup> on the cell surface but were unrelated to SIINFEKL did not result in CD8<sup>+</sup> T cell maturation. These peptides included a "null" peptide with K<sup>b</sup> anchor amino acids and serines at the epitope positions. Such a peptide was designed to bind K<sup>b</sup> but neither hinder nor contribute to TCR-MHC binding. The SIINFEKL analogs were prescreened using an in vitro deletion assay, and only those altered peptide ligands that caused little to no deletion were tested for positive selection. The results showed that four of the peptides promoted the maturation of CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells with all of the characteristics predicted for an Ag-reactive mature T cell: an HSA<sup>lo</sup> phenotype, enhanced Bcl-2 expression, and proliferation in response to SIINFEKL. Further analysis showed that all four peptides displayed antagonism of SIINFEKLspecific target lysis: two were strictly antagonists, meaning they did not stimulate T cells at any concentration tested, whereas two were antagonists at low concentrations and agonists at higher concentrations. Those two that were agonists would also induce a measure of deletion in  $\beta_2 m^{+/-}$  fetal thymic organ cultures.

The major implication of these results is that positive selection results from a highly specific TCR-mediated interaction that depends upon signals distinct from those needed for productive T cell activation and proliferation. A nuance is that peptides might serve to promote positive selection, negative selection, or T cell activation, depending on their abundance or context of presentation. On the basis of this consideration alone, a self peptide that was scarce in the thymus but abundant elsewhere in the body might be capable of eliciting an autoimmune reaction; however, clearly, other factors influence this outcome, including expression of the transcription factor AIRE in the thymus (30) and layers of tolerance mechanisms operative in secondary lymphoid organs (31). Given the results in F1  $\rightarrow$  parent chimeras cited above, the repertoire of TCRs selected in this manner must show a strong preference for the universe of foreign peptides bound to the allelic variations of MHC molecules. Yet, to date, such allele specificity does not appear to be manifest in TCR-MHCpeptide structures (32, 33). Thus, despite the clarity revealed by Hogquist et al., the process of thymic selection is still not without its mysteries.

## Disclosures

The author has no financial conflicts of interest.

## References

- 1. Miller, J. F. 1961. Immunological function of the thymus. Lancet 2: 748-749.
- Good, R. A., A. P. Dalmasso, C. Martinez, O. K. Archer, J. C. Pierce, and B. W. Papermaster. 1962. The role of the thymus in development of immunologic capacity in rabbits and mice. *J. Exp. Med.* 116: 773–796.
- Hogquist, K. A., S. C. Jameson, W. R. Heath, J. L. Howard, M. J. Bevan, and F. R. Carbone. 1994. T cell receptor antagonist peptides induce positive selection. *Cell* 76: 17–27.
- Katz, D. H., T. Hamaoka, and B. Benacerraf. 1973. Cell interactions between histoincompatible T and B lymphocytes. II. Failure of physiologic cooperative interactions between T and B lymphocytes from allogeneic donor strains in humoral response to hapten-protein conjugates. *J. Exp. Med.* 137: 1405–1418.
  Rosenthal, A. S., and E. M. Shevach. 1973. Function of macrophages in antigen
- Rosenthal, A. S., and E. M. Shevach. 1973. Function of macrophages in antigen recognition by guinea pig T lymphocytes. I. Requirement for histocompatible macrophages and lymphocytes. J. Exp. Med. 138: 1194–1212.
  Zinkernagel, R. M., and P. C. Doherty. 1974. Restriction of in vitro T cell-
- Zinkernagel, R. M., and P. C. Doherty. 1974. Restriction of in vitro T cellmediated cytotoxicity in lymphocytic choriomeningitis within a syngeneic or semiallogeneic system. *Nature* 248: 701–702.
- Doherty, P. C. 1997. The Nobel Lectures in Immunology. The Nobel Prize for Physiology or Medicine, 1996. Cell mediated immunity in virus infections. *Scand. J. Immunol.* 46: 527–540.
- Zinkernagel, R. M. 1997. The Nobel Lectures in Immunology. The Nobel Prize for Physiology or Medicine, 1996. Cellular immune recognition and the biological role of major transplantation antigens. *Scand. J. Immunol.* 46: 421–436.
- Bevan, M. J. 1977. In a radiation chimaera, host H-2 antigens determine immune responsiveness of donor cytotoxic cells. *Nature* 269: 417–418.
- Zinkernagel, R. M., G. N. Callahan, A. Althage, S. Cooper, P. A. Klein, and J. Klein. 1978. On the thymus in the differentiation of "H-2 self-recognition" by T cells: evidence for dual recognition? *J. Exp. Med.* 147: 882–896.
- Sprent, J. 1978. Restricted helper function of F1 hybrid T cells positively selected to heterologous erythrocytes in irradiated parental strain mice. I. Failure to collaborate with B cells of the opposite parental strain not associated with active suppression. J. Exp. Med. 147: 1142–1158.
- Kappler, J. W., and P. Marrack. 1978. The role of H-2 linked genes in helper T-cell function. IV. Importance of T-cell genotype and host environment in I-region and Ir gene expression. J. Exp. Med. 148: 1510–1522.
- Hedrick, S. M., E. A. Nielsen, J. Kavaler, D. I. Cohen, and M. M. Davis. 1984. Sequence relationships between putative T-cell receptor polypeptides and immunoglobulins. *Nature* 308: 153–158.
- Yanagi, Y., Y. Yoshikai, K. Leggett, S. P. Clark, I. Aleksander, and T. W. Mak. 1984. A human T cell-specific cDNA clone encodes a protein having extensive homology to immunoglobulin chains. *Nature* 308: 145–149.
- Shimonkevitz, R., S. Colon, J. W. Kappler, P. Marrack, and H. M. Grey. 1984. Antigen recognition by H-2-restricted T cells. II. A tryptic ovalbumin peptide that substitutes for processed antigen. *J. Immunol.* 133: 2067–2074.
  Babbitt, B. P., P. M. Allen, G. Matsueda, E. Haber, and E. R. Unanue. 1985.
- Babbitt, B. P., P. M. Allen, G. Matsueda, E. Haber, and E. R. Unanue. 1985. Binding of immunogenic peptides to Ia histocompatibility molecules. *Nature* 317: 359–361.
- Buus, S., S. Colon, C. Smith, J. H. Freed, C. Miles, and H. M. Grey. 1986. Interaction between a "processed" ovalbumin peptide and Ia molecules. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 83: 3968–3971.
- Townsend, A. R., J. Bastin, K. Gould, and G. G. Brownlee. 1986. Cytotoxic T lymphocytes recognize influenza haemagglutinin that lacks a signal sequence. *Nature* 324: 575–577.
- Kisielow, P., H. S. Teh, H. Blüthmann, and H. von Boehmer. 1988. Positive selection of antigen-specific T cells in thymus by restricting MHC molecules. *Nature* 335: 730–733.
- Kaye, J., M. L. Hsu, M. E. Sauron, S. C. Jameson, N. R. Gascoigne, and S. M. Hedrick. 1989. Selective development of CD4+ T cells in transgenic mice expressing a class II MHC-restricted antigen receptor. *Nature* 341: 746–749.
- Ceredig, R., E. J. Jenkinson, H. R. MacDonald, and J. J. Owen. 1982. Development of cytolytic T lymphocyte precursors in organ-cultured mouse embryonic thymus rudiments. *J. Exp. Med.* 155: 617–622.
- Zijlstra, M., M. Bix, N. E. Simister, J. M. Loring, D. H. Raulet, and R. Jaenisch. 1990. Beta 2-microglobulin deficient mice lack CD4-8+ cytolytic T cells. *Nature* 344: 742–746.
- Van Kaer, L., P. G. Ashton-Rickardt, H. L. Ploegh, and S. Tonegawa. 1992. TAP1 mutant mice are deficient in antigen presentation, surface class I molecules, and CD4-8+ T cells. *Cell* 71: 1205–1214.
- Hogquist, K. A., M. A. Gavin, and M. J. Bevan. 1993. Positive selection of CD8+ T cells induced by major histocompatibility complex binding peptides in fetal thymic organ culture. J. Exp. Med. 177: 1469–1473.
- Ashton-Rickardt, P. G., L. Van Kaer, T. N. Schumacher, H. L. Ploegh, and S. Tonegawa. 1993. Peptide contributes to the specificity of positive selection of CD8+ T cells in the thymus. *Cell* 73: 1041–1049.
- De Magistris, M. T., J. Alexander, M. Coggeshall, A. Altman, F. C. Gaeta, H. M. Grey, and A. Sette. 1992. Antigen analog-major histocompatibility complexes act as antagonists of the T cell receptor. *Cell* 68: 625–634.
- Jameson, S. C., F. R. Carbone, and M. J. Bevan. 1993. Clone-specific T cell receptor antagonists of major histocompatibility complex class I-restricted cytotoxic T cells. J. Exp. Med. 177: 1541–1550.
- Sloan-Lancaster, J., B. D. Evavold, and P. M. Allen. 1993. Induction of T-cell anergy by altered T-cell-receptor ligand on live antigen-presenting cells. *Nature* 363: 156–159.
- Vasquez, N. J., J. Kaye, and S. M. Hedrick. 1992. In vivo and in vitro clonal deletion of double-positive thymocytes. J. Exp. Med. 175: 1307–1316.

- Anderson, M. S., E. S. Venanzi, L. Klein, Z. Chen, S. P. Berzins, S. J. Turley, H. von Boehmer, R. Bronson, A. Dierich, C. Benoist, and D. Mathis. 2002. Projection of an immunological self shadow within the thymus by the aire protein. *Science* 298: 1395–1401.
- Bour-Jordan, H., J. H. Esensten, M. Martinez-Llordella, C. Penaranda, M. Stumpf, and J. A. Bluestone. 2011. Intrinsic and extrinsic control of peripheral T-cell tolerance by costimulatory molecules of the CD28/B7 family. *Immunol. Rev.* 241: 180–205.
- Dai, S., E. S. Huseby, K. Rubtsova, J. Scott-Browne, F. Crawford, W. A. Macdonald, P. Marrack, and J. W. Kappler. 2008. Crossreactive T cells spotlight the germline rules for alphabeta T cell-receptor interactions with MHC molecules. *Immunity* 28: 324–334.
- Garcia, K. C., J. J. Adams, D. Feng, and L. K. Ely. 2009. The molecular basis of TCR germline bias for MHC is surprisingly simple. *Nat. Immunol.* 10: 143– 147.